


David J. Bradley, Clerk

A review of the pleadings, motion, record, and applicable law shows no basis for reconsideration. Henry has not shown newly discovered evidence that he could not have presented earlier, showing fraud on the state trial or habeas courts, a void judgment, or other basis justifying relief. (Rules 60(b)(3),(4), and (6)). Nor has Henry shown a change in the applicable law, or other

grounds that were not raised earlier in this habeas proceeding. The court has considered and rejected his arguments as a basis for habeas relief. The arguments do not present a basis for relief from the judgment denying that relief.

The motion for relief from judgment, (Docket Entry No. 12), is denied. A Letter/Motion asking for an extension of time, (Docket Entry No. 13), is denied as moot; the court allowed the time Henry asked the court to provide.

SIGNED on March 3, 2016, at Houston, Texas.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Lee H. Rosenthal  
United States District Judge